

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/689,010	10/12/2000	YOSHIAKI HATA	15162/02600	6171
24367	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	STIN BROWN & WOO	STARSIAK, JOHN S		
717 NORTH HARWOOD SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX 75201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/689,010	HATA, YOSHIAKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John S. Starsiak Jr.	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir or within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  s will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2000.						
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 802)	🔽					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 091404						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:					

Art Unit: 1753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a microchip with an optical element integral with said chip, classified in class 204, subclass 603.
- II. Claims 9-12 and 21-23, drawn to a microchip including deflecting electrodes, classified in class 204, subclass 601.
- III. Claims 15, 16, and 27-29, drawn to a manipulator including surface characteristics which causes the sample to adhere to the manipulator, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
- IV. Claims 17-20, drawn to a holder for a microchip including a sensor unit, classified in class 356, subclass 344.
- V. Claims 24-26, drawn to an analyzing apparatus comprising a holder for a microchip and a lifting unit for the microchip classified in class 346, subclass 43.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, although the independent claim of Group I (i.e., claim 1) and the first independent claim of Group II (i.e., claim 9) both recite a microchip, the detailed structure recited therein is different, i.e., "an optical

Art Unit: 1753

element" in claim 1 and "deflecting electrodes" in claim 9. Hence for purposes of restriction, these claims are considered to a subcombination claims. It would appear from the written description it appears that the combination of these particulars is not essential, i.e. a microchip with either particular would be operative. Moreover, the applicant is claiming these particulars separately. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the manipulator (Group III) could be used for transferring material to/from a microarray. Alternatively, the separated species could be transferred to extracting well 23a as described in the specification. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the analyzing apparatus (Group IV) could be used with any microchip with a separation channel. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the analyzing apparatus (Group V) could be used with any microchip. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the microchip (Group II) can be

Art Unit: 1753

used without the micromanipulator (Group III) if no recovery of separated species is desired. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention the analyzing apparatus (group IV) could be used with microchip which do not have deflecting electrodes (group II). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the analyzing apparatus with a lifting unit (Group V) could be used with microchips that do not have deflecting electrodes (Group II). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, analyzing apparatus (Group IV) does not require the micromanipulator of (Group III) if no recovery of separated species is desired or alternative means for recovery separated samples is used. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the micromanipulator (Group III)

Art Unit: 1753

could be used with analyzing apparatus which does not have a lifting unit (Group V). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention both Groups IV and V recite an analyzing apparatus including a holder for a microchip. Claim 17 (Group IV) in addition recites a sensor unit and claim 24 (group V) in addition recites a lifting unit. Hence for purposes of restriction these two claims recite subcombinations. The analyzing apparatus of Group IV would operate without a lifting unit. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Thomas Tarney on 03 September 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Starsiak Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NAM NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

John S. Starsiak Jr.

14 September 2004